

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,286	02/13/2002	François Delaney	06749-001-US-02	3484
75	90 12/12/2002			
BROUILLETTE KOSIE 25th Floor 1100 Rene-Levesque Boulevard West			EXAMINER	
			BRAHAN, THOMAS J	
Montreal, QC H3B 5C9 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMADA			3652	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

21

Application No.

ication No. Applicant(s) 10/073,286

DELANEY

Office Action Summary Examiner

Thomas J. Brahan

Art Unit 3652

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the H NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action	on is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp sition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>9-42</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 💢 Claims <i>9-42</i>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § § 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:					
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language pri visional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

- 1. This action rescinds the Notice of Non-compliance mailed November 19, 2002.
- 2. The substitute specification filed November 12, 2002 has been entered.
- 3. The substitute specification filed July 3, 2002 was not entered, as at that time it was not recognized as a substitute specification, due to the wording of the letter that accompanied it. It has not been entered now because it was not accompanied by a statement that it did not include new matter, and because of the new substitute specification filed November 12, 2002.
- 4. The claims filed on July 3, 2002 were not entered, as at the time they were not recognized as replacement claims. They have not been entered now as they were not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, and because of the new claims were filed November 12, 2002.
- 5. The new claims 1-34, filed November 12, 2002, have been renumbered as claims 9-42 as per 37 CFR 1.126. Original claims 1-8 have been canceled, as this is believed to be applicant's intent.
- 6. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 9-35, drawn to a crane, classified in class 212, subclass 225.
 - II. Claims 36-39, drawn to a carriage, classified in class 105, subclass 155.
 - III. Claims 40-42, drawn to a boom, classified in class 52, subclass 114.
- 7. The inventions are related as combinations and subcombinations. The inventions are distinct because (1) the combination (the crane), as claimed, does not require the particulars of the subcombinations (the carriage or the boom), as claimed, for patentability, and (2) that the subcombinations, as claimed, have utility by themselves, or in other combinations without overall crane arrangement claimed (MPEP § 806.05(c)). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Application No. 10/073,286 Art Unit 3652

8. Invention I of this application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species I having counterweight/piston air pressure displacement means.

Species II having a fluid displacement means.

Species III having a granular displacement means.

- 9. If applicant elects Invention I, applicant is also required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 9-12 and 34-36 appear to be generic.
- 10. It is noted that some of the claims introduce new matter into the application, such as the valve in the counterweight or the vertical conveyor. These structures were not part of the first specification.
- Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.
- 12. An inquiry concerning this action should be directed to Examiner Thomas J. Brahan at telephone number (703) 308-2568 on Mondays through Thursdays from 8:30-6:00 EST. The examiner's supervisor, Ms. Eileen Lillis, can be reached at (703) 308-3248. The fax number for Technology Center 3600 is (703) 305-7687.

THOMAS J. BRAHAN PRIMARY EXAMINER